

## **Clinical Policy: Sacroiliac Joint Fusion**

Reference Number: PA.CP.MP.126

Plan Effective Date: 01/2018 Date of Last Revision: 06/2024 Coding Implications
Revision Log

### **Description**

Sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion, or arthrodesis, is a surgical technique that fuses the iliac bone to the sacrum for stabilization. This procedure may be performed in a minimally invasive manner or as an open surgical procedure requiring a larger incision and subsequent increased recovery time.

### Policy/Criteria

- I. It is the policy of PA Health and Wellness® (PHW) that open sacroiliac joint fusion is **medically necessary** for any of the following indications:
  - A. Stabilization of a traumatic, severe disruption, or fracture of the pelvic ring;
  - B. As an adjunct to sacrectomy or partial sacrectomy for the treatment of sacral tumors;
  - C. As an adjunct to the medical treatment of sacroiliac joint infection or sepsis (e.g., osteomyelitis, pyogenic sacroiliitis);
  - D. During multi-segment spinal constructs (e.g., correction of spinal deformity in scoliosis or kyphosis surgery, extending to the ilium).
- II. It is the policy of PHW that minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion is **medically necessary** for the treatment of low back/buttock pain when meeting all of the following:
  - A. Failure of at least six consecutive months of conservative treatment that includes all of the following:
    - 1. Medication optimization (unless contraindicated);
    - 2. Activity modification;
    - 3. At least four to six weeks of active therapeutic exercise targeted at the lumbar spine, pelvis, sacroiliac joint (SIJ) and hip, including a home exercise program or documentation of patient's inability to tolerate;
  - B. Non-radiating, typically unilateral pain that is caudal to the lumbar spine (L5 vertebrae), localized over the posterior SIJ, and consistent with SIJ pain, that interferes with activities of daily living (ADLs);
  - C. Localized tenderness with palpation of the posterior SIJ (sacral sulcus) in the absence of tenderness of similar severity elsewhere (e.g., greater trochanter, lumbar spine, coccyx) and other obvious sources of pain do not exist;
  - D. Positive response to at least three provocative tests (thigh trust, compression, sacral thrust, distraction, Gaenslen's, Patrick's test/FABER test);
  - E. Absence of generalized pain behavior (e.g., somatoform disorder) or generalized pain disorders (e.g., fibromyalgia);
  - F. Recent (within six months) diagnostic imaging studies that include all of the following:
    - 1. Plain radiographs and CT or MRI of the SI joint that excludes the presence of destructive lesions (e.g., tumor, infection), fracture, traumatic SIJ instability, or inflammatory arthropathy;
    - 2. Plain radiographs of the pelvis to exclude the presence of concomitant hip pathology;
    - 3. CT or MRI of the lumbar spine that excludes neural compression or other degenerative conditions that can cause low back or buttock pain.



- G. At least 75% reduction in pain for the expected duration of the anesthetic used following an image guided, contrast-enhanced intra-articular (diagnostic) SIJ injection on two separate occasions;
- H. A failure of at least one therapeutic intra-articular SIJ injection (i.e., corticosteroid injection), or a therapeutic injection is contraindicated;
- I. Procedure will be performed using the lateral transarticular approach.
- III. It is the policy of PHW that the long-term safety and effectiveness of sacroiliac joint fusion procedures, either open or minimally invasive has not been proven for all other indications, including but not limited to, treatment of mechanical or axial low back pain, radicular pain syndromes, sacral insufficiency fractures, and pelvic girdle pain, due to limited clinical evidence.
- **IV.** It is the policy of PHW that current evidence does not support sacroiliac joint fusion using implants other than those which are placed across the joint (transfixing) to promote fusion (e.g., allograft, nonmetallic implants).

### **Background**

Low back pain affects approximately 84% of adults during their lives with the sacroiliac joint being the source of chronic low back pain in approximately 15% to 30% of patients. 1,2,3,4 When the sacroiliac joint is the source of this pain, and all appropriate conservative measures fail to relieve symptoms of trauma associated with fracture, infection/sepsis, tumors involving the sacrum, cancer, or spinal instability, treatment options may include fusion of this joint or implantation of devices that stabilize this joint with minimally invasive surgery. To stabilize the sacroiliac joint, the iliac crest bone and the sacrum are held together by plates and/or screws or an interbody fusion cage, until the two bones fuse. 1

There are a number of FDA-approved implants that have been proposed for sacroiliac joint disorders, but the majority of clinical trials and studies have been done on the iFuse implant system. This was initially called the SI Joint Fusion and received the original 510(k) clearance from the Food and Drug Administration in November 2008 for fracture fixation of long bones, large bone fragments of the pelvis and for conditions including sacroiliac joint disruptions and degenerative sacroilitis. Additional FDA clearances were given on April 21, 2011, and on April 17, 2015. The iFuse system involves the fluoroscopically guided insertion of titanium implants across the sacroiliac joint. Under general anesthesia, a two to three centimeter incision is created, and after determining the appropriate size of the implant, a cannulated delivery system is used to insert the implants into the proper position. While the number varies, most patients receive three implants to stabilize the joint. 5,6

Whang and Polly completed two randomized controlled trials with a six month and one year follow up, respectively, on sacroiliac joint fusion using iFuse versus non-surgical management. The iFuse led to better outcomes and similar safety compared with nonsurgical management, and to better operative outcomes and at least comparable efficacy compared with open surgery. However, uncertainty remains due to the lack of longer-term efficacy and safety follow-up with radiologic confirmation, and to the lack of comparisons with other minimally invasive approaches.<sup>7,8</sup> There is additional evidence suggesting sacroiliac joint fusion with iFuse improves



pain, enhances health-related quality of life, and decreases disability compared to non-surgical management. <sup>9,10,11</sup>

The percutaneous placement of an intraarticular stabilization device into the sacroiliac joint (SIJ) differs from the established percutaneous arthrodesis of the SIJ with placement of a transfixing device. Examples of SIJ stabilization devices that do not involve transfixation are CornerLoc<sup>™</sup>, TransFasten<sup>®</sup>, and LinQ<sup>™</sup>. These allograft devices are placed directly to the SIJ via posterior approaches, therefore, and do not involve drilling through the ilium to the sacrum or insertion of hardware. Minimally invasive SIJ arthrodesis involves the placement of screws, cages, or allograft dowels percutaneously using lateral transarticular (i.e., through the ilium to the sacrum) or posterior approaches. Implantation of SIJ fusion devices via a posterior approach is less invasive and potentially safer than the lateral approach since neurovascular structures are avoided. Although there is preliminary evidence that supports pain reduction with minimum complications using the posterior approach, current medical literature supports the lateral approach. There is a paucity of evidence to support the posterior and posterior lateral oblique approach.<sup>12,13</sup>

The sacroiliac joint remains a controversial source of primary low back pain, and surgery is rarely performed for sacroiliac joint dysfunction.<sup>2</sup> Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion is becoming a more prevalent treatment for chronic refractory low back pain isolated to the sacroiliac joint with the development of various fusion devices over the past ten years. Additional randomized, controlled trials or comparison studies are needed to investigate different aspects of each device to identify unique features that may be of clinical benefit, as well as determine the impact on health outcomes and long-term efficacy and safety.<sup>2,14</sup>

#### International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery (ISASS)

The ISASS outlines eligibility criteria and contraindications relative to minimally invasive surgical sacroiliac joint fusion (MIS SIJF), but does not endorse any specific MIS SIJ system.<sup>3,12</sup> A meta-analysis was conducted, and the results for patients following MIS SIJF demonstrated steadily and considerably lower SIJ pain scores and ODI (Oswestry Disability Index) scores when compared to baseline scores. Evidence from two random controlled trials and five multicenter prospective studies specifically demonstrated pain relief, disability reduction and improvement in QOL (quality of life) were significantly higher in patients treated with MIS SIJF when compared to nonsurgically treated patients. The ISASS concludes that MIS SIJF is "a recognized safe, predictable, and preferred surgical method for the management of intractable, debilitating primary or secondary SIJ pain disorders." The ISASS noted a scarce amount of published literature on minimally invasive SIJ fusion using a posterior approach. The society concluded that the instrumentation utilized in a MIS SIJ procedure is the surgeon's preference. <sup>12</sup>

### North American Spine Society (NASS)

In 2021 NASS updated their coverage recommendations for minimally invasive SIJ fusion.<sup>15</sup> NASS recommends percutaneous sacroiliac joint (SIJ) fusion for the treatment of sacroiliac joint pain for patients with low back/buttock pain who meet specific criteria.<sup>16</sup>

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE)



NICE recommends minimally invasive sacroiliac (SI) joint fusion surgery for treatment of chronic SI pain in patients with a confirmed diagnosis of unilateral or bilateral SI joint dysfunction due to degenerative sacroilitis or SI joint disruption. The committee indicates that this procedure stabilizes the joint, but fusion of the joint does not happen in many cases. The NICE guidelines only describe the lateral transarticular approach. Additionally, NICE recommends iFuse implant system as an option for treating chronic sacroiliac joint pain for patients with a confirmed diagnosis of chronic sacroiliac joint pain that is inadequately controlled by non-surgical management. The confirmed diagnosis should be based on a clinical assessment and a positive response to a diagnostic injection of local anesthetic in the sacroiliac joint.

Tobacco cessation is recommended to improve the outcome of spinal fusion surgery. The success of fusion surgery is determined by the ability of the joints to heal into a solid unit; however, the fusion rate of smokers is significantly lower than non-smokers. <sup>18,19</sup> Smoking increases the rate of perioperative complications, especially pseudoarthrosis; therefore, smoking cessation for four weeks following surgery is recommended to reduce risks. <sup>18,20</sup> One study of patients undergoing spinal fusions in the lower back demonstrated an 80-85% success rate for non-smokers or patients who quit smoking following surgery, and < 73% success rate for smokers. <sup>19</sup>

## **Coding Implications**

This clinical policy references Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®). CPT® is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association. All CPT codes and descriptions are copyrighted 2023, American Medical Association. All rights reserved. CPT codes and CPT descriptions are from the current manuals and those included herein are not intended to be all-inclusive and are included for informational purposes only. Codes referenced in this clinical policy are for informational purposes only. Inclusion or exclusion of any codes does not guarantee coverage. Providers should reference the most up-to-date sources of professional coding guidance prior to the submission of claims for reimbursement of covered services.

#### Codes that support coverage criteria

CPT® Codes	Description
27278	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous, with image guidance, including placement
21216	of intra-articular implant(s) (eg, bone allograft[s], synthetic device[s]), without placement of transfixation device.
27279	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous or minimally invasive (indirect
	visualization), with image guidance, includes obtaining bone graft when performed,
	and placement of transfixing device
27280	Arthrodesis, open, sacroiliac joint, including obtaining bone graft, including
	instrumentation, when performed

#### Codes that do not support coverage criteria



CPT®	Description
Codes	
0775T	Arthrodesis, sacroiliac joint, percutaneous, with image guidance, includes
	placement of intra-articular implant(s) (e.g., bone allograft[s], synthetic device[s])

Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision	Approval
	Date	Date
New Policy	9/18	
References reviewed and updated. Codes reviewed and updated. ICD-10 codes added: C41.4, C79.51, D16.8, D48.0, D49.2, M46.28, M46.38, and S32.810A-S32.811S. Specialty review.	10/19	
Annual review completed. References reviewed and updated. Changed ICD-10 code M53.2X7 to M53.2X6. Corrected numbering in Reference Section and applicable footnotes. Added clarification to section II., "that sacroiliac joint fusion procedures, either open or minimally invasive (e.g., iFuse), are investigational for all other indications, including but not limited to, treating treatment of"	10/2020	
Annual review complete. Replaced all instances of member with member/enrollee. Background updated. Section I updated to indicate criteria specific to open SIJ fusion. New criteria added for section II, specific to minimally invasive SIJ fusion. Updated section III "experimental/investigational" verbiage: replaced with "long-term safety and effectiveness has not been proven" and removed reference to iFUSE and sacroiliac joint examples Changed "review date" in the header to "last revision date; changed "date" in the revision log header to "revision date." Added "at least 4-6 weeks" to II.A.3. and added option for inability to tolerate exercise program. Section II.F.1 updated to include "fracture, traumatic SIJ instability". Background updated with information regarding smoking cessation. References reviewed by specialist, updated, and reformatted.	12/8/2022	
Annual review completed. Added Criteria II.I. describing procedure approach. Added criteria IV. to address sacroiliac fusion using implants other than those which are placed across the joint (transfixing) to promote fusion. Additional minor rewording with no clinical significance. Background updated. Created tables to convey codes that do/do not support coverage criteria. Added new CPT code 0775T to table that does not support coverage criteria. ICD-10 code table removed. References reviewed and updated. External specialist reviewed.	06/2023	09/06/2023
Annual review. Minor rewording in Criteria I.B. and Criteria I.D. Removed osteopathic or chiropractic manipulation from Criteria II.A.3. Added (sacral sulcus) to criteria II.C. Added clarifying	06/2024	



Reviews, Revisions, and Approvals	Revision Date	Approval Date
verbiage to Criteria II.B. Updated Criteria II.D. to include a positive		
response to at least three provocative tests. Added clarifying		
language to Criteria II.F.2. Removed "at least two weeks apart" in		
Criteria II.G. regarding image guided, contrast-enhanced intra-		
articular (diagnostic) SIJ injection on two separate occasions. Added		
code 27278 to table of codes that do not support coverage.		
Background updated with no impact on criteria. References reviewed		
and updated.		

#### References

- 1. Ledonio CG, Polly DW Jr, Swiontkowski MF. Minimally invasive versus open sacroiliac joint fusion: are they similarly safe and effective?. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2014;472(6):1831-1838. doi:10.1007/s11999-014-3499-8
- 2. Wheeler SG, Wipf JE, Staiger TO, Deyo RA, Jarvik JG. Evaluation of low back pain in adults. UpToDate. <a href="https://www.uptodate.com">www.uptodate.com</a>. Updated May 26, 2022. Accessed April 25, 2024.
- 3. Lorio M, Kube R, Araghi A. International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery Policy 2020 Update-Minimally Invasive Surgical Sacroiliac Joint Fusion (for Chronic Sacroiliac Joint Pain): Coverage Indications, Limitations, and Medical Necessity. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2020;14(6):860 to 895. doi:10.14444/7156
- 4. Martin CT, Haase L, Lender PA, Polly DW. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion: The Current Evidence. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2020;14(Suppl 1):20-29. Published 2020 Feb 10. doi:10.14444/6072
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 510(k) Premarket Notification Database. iFuse SI Fusion System. No. K110838.
   <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K110838">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K110838</a>.
   Published April 21, 2011. Accessed April 18, 2024.
- U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) 510(k) Premarket Notification Database. SI-BONE iFuse Implant System. No. K150714.
   <a href="https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K150714">https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cdrh/cfdocs/cfPMN/pmn.cfm?ID=K150714</a>.
   Published April 17, 2015 (updated April 22, 2024). Accessed April 23, 2024.
- 7. Polly DW, Cher DJ, Wine KD, et al. Randomized Controlled Trial of Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Using Triangular Titanium Implants vs Nonsurgical Management for Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction: 12-Month Outcomes. *Neurosurgery*. 2015;77(5):674-691. doi:10.1227/NEU.0000000000000988
- 8. Polly DW, Swofford J, Whang PG, et al. Two-Year Outcomes from a Randomized Controlled Trial of Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion vs. Non-Surgical Management for Sacroiliac Joint Dysfunction. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2016;10:28. Published 2016 Aug 23. doi:10.14444/3028
- 9. Health Technology Assessment. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion using triangular titanium implants (iFuse Implant System, SI-Bone Inc.). Hayes. <a href="www.hayesinc.com">www.hayesinc.com</a>. Published September 03, 2020 (annual review August 29, 2023). Accessed April 18, 2024.
- 10. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE). iFuse for treating chronic sacroiliac joint pain. Medical technologies guidance [MTG39].



- https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/mtg39/chapter/1-Recommendations. Published October 02, 2018 (updated August 30, 2022). Accessed April 18, 2024.
- 11. Chang E, Rains C, Ali R, Wines RC, Kahwati LC. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion for chronic sacroiliac joint pain: a systematic review. *Spine J.* 2022;22(8):1240 to 1253. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2022.01.005
- 12. Medical Code Brief. 0775T Category III (T codes). Hayes. <a href="www.hayesinc.com">www.hayesinc.com</a>. Published July 20, 2022. Accessed April 18, 2024.
- 13. Lee DW, Patterson DG, Sayed D. Review of Current Evidence for Minimally Invasive Posterior Sacroiliac Joint Fusion. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2021;15(3):514-524. doi:10.14444/8073
- 14. Himstead AS, Brown NJ, Shahrestani S, Tran K, Davies JL, Oh M. Trends in Diagnosis and Treatment of Sacroiliac Joint Pathology Over the Past 10 Years: Review of Scientific Evidence for New Devices for Sacroiliac Joint Fusion. *Cureus*. 2021;13(6):e15415. Published 2021 Jun 3. doi:10.7759/cureus.15415
- 15. North American Spine Society. NASS coverage policy recommendations: Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion. <a href="https://www.spine.org">https://www.spine.org</a>. Published September 2021. Accessed April 24, 2024.
- 16. North American Spine Society (NASS). Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care: diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. <a href="https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LowBackPain.pdf">https://www.spine.org/Portals/0/assets/downloads/ResearchClinicalCare/Guidelines/LowBackPain.pdf</a>. Published 2020. Updated January 27, 2021. Accessed April 12, 2024.
- 17. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion surgery for chronic sacroiliac pain. Interventional procedures guidance [IPG578]. Published April 05, 2017. <a href="https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg578/chapter/1-Recommendations">https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg578/chapter/1-Recommendations</a>. Accessed April 24, 2024.
- 18. Li Y, Zheng LM, Zhang ZW, He CJ. The Effect of Smoking on the Fusion Rate of Spinal Fusion Surgery: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *World Neurosurg*. 2021;154:e222-e235. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2021.07.011
- 19. American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS). Surgery and smoking: Research on smoking and orthopaedic procedures. Updated April 2019. <a href="https://www.orthoinfo.org/en/treatment/surgery-and-smoking/">https://www.orthoinfo.org/en/treatment/surgery-and-smoking/</a>. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- 20. Berman D, Oren JH, Bendo J, Spivak J. The Effect of Smoking on Spinal Fusion. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2017;11(4):29. Published 2017 Nov 28. doi:10.14444/4029
- 21. Chou R, Loeser JD, Owens DK, et al. Interventional therapies, surgery, and interdisciplinary rehabilitation for low back pain: an evidence-based clinical practice guideline from the American Pain Society. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2009;34(10):1066-1077. doi:10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181a1390d
- 22. SI-BONE, Inc. Announces Medicare Palmetto Removes MIS SI Joint Fusion from Non-Coverage. Published February 25, 2014. <a href="https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/si-bone-inc-announces-medicare-palmetto-removes-mis-si-joint-fusion-from-non-coverage-247035941.html">https://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/si-bone-inc-announces-medicare-palmetto-removes-mis-si-joint-fusion-from-non-coverage-247035941.html</a> Accessed April 26, 2024.
- 23. Matias CM, Velagapudi L, Montenegro TS, Heller JE. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Fusiona Review. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2022;26(3):173-182. doi:10.1007/s11916-022-01016-y
- 24. Vanaclocha V, Herrera JM, Sáiz-Sapena N, Rivera-Paz M, Verdú-López F. Minimally Invasive Sacroiliac Joint Fusion, Radiofrequency Denervation, and Conservative Management for Sacroiliac Joint Pain: 6-Year Comparative Case Series. *Neurosurgery*. 2018;82(1):48-55. doi:10.1093/neuros/nyx185



- 25. Whang P, Cher D, Polly D, et al. Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Using Triangular Titanium Implants vs. Non-Surgical Management: Six-Month Outcomes from a Prospective Randomized Controlled Trial. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2015;9:6. Published 2015 Mar 5. doi:10.14444/2006
- 26. Zaidi HA, Montoure AJ, Dickman CA. Surgical and clinical efficacy of sacroiliac joint fusion: a systematic review of the literature. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2015 Jul;23(1):59 to 66. doi: 10.3171/2014.10.SPINE14516
- 27. Bornemann R, Roessler PP, Strauss AC, et al. Two-year clinical results of patients with sacroiliac joint syndrome treated by arthrodesis using a triangular implant system. *Technol Health Care*. 2017;25(2):319-325. doi:10.3233/THC-161272
- 28. Local coverage determination: minimally-invasive surgical (MIS) fusion of the sacroiliac (SI) joint (L36494). Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. <a href="http://www.cms.hhs.gov/mcd/search.asp">http://www.cms.hhs.gov/mcd/search.asp</a>. Published February 01, 2016 (revised January 04, 2024). Accessed April 18, 2024.
- 29. Heiney J, Capobianco R, Cher D. A systematic review of minimally invasive sacroiliac joint fusion utilizing a lateral transarticular technique. *Int J Spine Surg.* 2015;9:40. Published 2015 Jul 22. doi:10.14444/2040
- 30. Tran ZV, Ivashchenko A, Brooks L. Sacroiliac Joint Fusion Methodology Minimally Invasive Compared to Screw-Type Surgeries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Pain Physician*. 2019;22(1):29-40.
- 31. Medical Code Brief. 27278 Category I. Hayes. <u>www.hayesinc.com</u>. Published September 18, 2023. Accessed April 26, 2024.
- 32. North American Spine Society. NASS coverage policy recommendations: Sacroiliac joint injections and radiofrequency ablation. <a href="https://www.spine.org">https://www.spine.org</a>. Published October 2020. Accessed April 25, 2024.